

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,715	09/22/2003		David M. Solak	7505	
7.	590	07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVID M. S	OLAK		BENNETT, GEORGE B		
4805 MAPLE HILL DRIVE SEVEN HILLS, OH 44131-5916				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
52 : E.V IIIEE	, 511			2050	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			{{}})				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•				
	10/667,715	SOLAK, DAVID M.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	G. Bradley Bennett	2859					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	••				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied in the provision of the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fitte, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely, rom the mailing date of this communic DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.	<u>June 2005</u> .						
•	is action is non-final.						
•							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be added to	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic onty documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No sived in this National Stage	9				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/667,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: these claims do not end with a period, so it is unclear as to whether the applicant intended to end them or if there is missing text. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drahos et al. in view of Barsby et al. and Hoffman.
- 4. Drahos et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed where: 30 is a tilt switch for determining the degree of angle of device 10; 54 is a radio frequency transmitter; a current source for powering the transmitter is shown in FIG 5 as "current source"; and the device transmits to a remote receiver 56 which may uses an audible signal device 58. However, Drahos et al. does not disclose the wiper contact assembly or the antennas as claimed. Barsby et al. discloses how a wiper contact assembly 25-30 may be used for the purpose of detecting the degree of tilt of a device. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the wiper contact assembly as taught by Barsby et

Application/Control Number: 10/667,715

Art Unit: 2859

al. in conjunction with the tilt sensor of Drahos et al. as an alternate means for detecting tilt with the Drahos et al. device. Hoffman discloses how antennas may be used for the purpose of enhancing an RF signal that is being transmitted from a transmitter to a receiver (see abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use antennas as taught by Hoffman in conjunction with the tilt sensor of Drahos et al. for the purpose of enhancing the RF signal of Drahos et al.

Page 3

- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drahos et al.,
 Barsby et al. and Hoffman as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shiao.
- Drahos et al., Barsby et al. and Hoffman disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, none disclose a rotating knob as claimed. Shiao discloses a rotating knob 300 with indicia 111d, a level 400 and a window 111e used for the purpose of adjusting the level relative to a base. The knob may be rotated 360 degrees as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the knob as taught by Hoffman in conjunction with the combination of Drahos et al, Barsby et al and Hoffman for the purpose of rendering the combination adjustable relative to a base.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Bradley Bennett whose telephone number is 571.272.2237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,715

Art Unit: 2859

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571.272.2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

G. Bradley Bennett Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

gbb 8 JUL 2005